## **PRESENTERS**



## Amanda Donovan, Haigh Lyon, Auckland

Amanda leads Haigh Lyon's specialist family law team. Her practice covers all areas of family law with a particular focus on relationship property, trust issues, estate litigation and children matters. Amanda is a contributing author for the *Westlaw Family Law publication* (NZ) and while working in London, she was a consultant editor for *Hershman and McFarlane: Children Law and Practice*.



## Kevin Muir, Morgan Coakle Lawyers, Auckland

Kevin leads the Family law team at Morgan Coakle Lawyers. His practice includes relationship property, trust and estate claims, employment law and insurance litigation with focus on claims against lawyers and disciplinary issues.

The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

## **CONTENTS**

| ONCE BITTEN, TWICE (THRICE) SHY? HOW TO STRUCTURE A CLIENT'S AFFAIRS THE SECOND (OR THIRD) RELATIONSHIP |    |
|---|----|
| INTRODUCTION: THE INTERFACE BETWEEN RELATIONSHIP PROPERTY AND OTHER AREAS OF LAW                        | 1  |
| The starting point  | 1  |
| This paper in a nutshell  |    |
| CONTRACTING OUT AGREEMENTS AND ESTATE PLANNING FOR SECOND (OR THIRD) RELATIONSHIPS.                     |    |
| Where to start?   | 3  |
| Joint tenancy and tenancy in common: a baseline   | 3  |
| Mutual wills  | 4  |
| Life interests  |    |
| Life interests, trusts and the memorandum of wishes   | 8  |
| Property sharing agreements – trust interests   | 9  |
| A LOAN, A GIFT, OR AN INVESTMENT? – WHEN FAMILY MEMBERS CONTRIBUTE TO RELATIONSHIP                      |    |
| PROPERTY  | 10 |
| The effect of contributions to relationship property  | 10 |
| Types of contributions?   | 11 |
| Presumptions arising at law   | 11 |
| Rebutting the presumptions  | 13 |
| Ascertaining intentions   | 13 |
| Advising clients  | 13 |
| Useful decisions  | 14 |
| Summary   | 15 |
| PRA CLAIMS BY AND AGAINST SURVIVING SPOUSES   |    |
| Apparent rights of surviving spouse   | 17 |
| Position of the deceased's representative   | 17 |
| <i>The threshold in s</i> 88(2)   |    |
| The reluctant administrator   |    |
| Who can challenge a s 21 agreement after death?   |    |
| Serious injustice (again): is there a higher threshold for setting aside a s 21 agreement?              |    |
| Compensatory claims   |    |
| Conclusion  |    |
| SECTION 21J: RECENT CASE LAW ON SETTING ASIDE AGREEMENTS  |    |
| Introduction  |    |
| White v Kay:  |    |
| Bartram v Bartram:  |    |
| Bacarji v Gray:   |    |
| <i>H v C</i> :  |    |
| Summary of position   |    |
| CERTIFYING LAWYERS DUTIES, POTENTIAL LIABILITIES AND LIMITATION ISSUES                                  |    |
| Your duty as a certifying lawyer  |    |
| Recent cases on s 21F   |    |
| Advice when entitlements have accrued under PRA   |    |
| Advice given during mediation by a non-certifying lawyer  |    |
| Certifying lawyer's duties: What to take home   |    |
| Potential liabilities and limitation issues   |    |
| Background  |    |
| Limitation Act 2010   | 41 |